arXiv:1609.04077v2 [math.GR] 29 Sep 2016

FROM SKEIN THEORY TO PRESENTATIONS FOR THOMPSON GROUP

YUNXIANG REN

Abstract. Jones introduced unitary representations of Thompson group F constructed from a given subfactor planar algebra, and all unoriented links arise as matrix coefficients of these representations. Moreover, all oriented links arise as matrix coefficients of a subgroup $\vec{F}$ which is the stabilizer of a certain vector. Later Golan and Sapir determined the subgroup $\vec{F}$ and showed many interesting properties. In this paper, we investigate into a large class of groups which arises as subgroups of Thompson group F and reveal the relation between the skein theory of the subfactor planar algebra and the presentation of subgroup related to the corresponding unitary representation. Specifically, we answer a question by Jones about the 3-colorable subgroup.

1. introduction

Jones initiated the modern theory of subfactors to study quantum symmetry [Jon83]. The standard invariant of a subfactor is the lattice of higher relative commutants of the Jones tower. A deep theorem of Popa says the standard invariants completely classify strongly amenable subfactors [Pop94]. In particular, the A, D, E classification of subfactors up to index 4 is a quantum analog of Mckay correspondence. Moreover, Popa introduced standard λ-lattices as an axiomatization of the standard invariant [Pop95], which completes Ocneanu's axiomatization for finite depth subfactors [Ocn88].

Jones introduced (subfactor) planar algebras as an axiomatization of the standard invariant of subfactors, which capture its ulterior topological properties. He suggested studying planar algebras by skein theory, a presentation theory which allows one to completely describe the entire planar algebra in terms of generators and relations, both algebraic and topological.

An m-box generator for a planar algebra is usually represented by a $2m$-valent labeled vertex in a disc, with a choice of alternating shading of the planar regions. A planar algebra is a representation of *fully labeled planar tangles* on vector spaces in the flavor of TQFT [Ati88], in the sense that the representation is well defined up to isotopy, and the target vector space only depends on the boundary conditions of diagrams. In particular, diagrams without boundary are mapped to the ground field, yielding a map called the partition function. A planar algebra is called a subfactor planar algebra if its partition function is positive definite. In this case, the vector spaces become Hilbert spaces.

A skein theory for a planar algebra is a presentation given in terms of generators and relations, such that the partition function of a closed diagram labeled by the generators can be evaluated using only the prescribed relations. This type of description of a planar algebra is analogous to presentations in combinatorial group theory. With an evaluation algorithm in hand, a skein theory uniquely determines a planar algebra, and therefore one can ask for a skein theoretic classification of planar algebras as suggested by Bisch and Jones [BJ97, BJ03]. Bisch and Jones initiated the classification of planar algebras generated by a single 2-box [BJ97]. Based on the subsequent work of Bisch, Jones and Liu [BJ03, BJL], a classification of singly generated Yang-Baxter relation planar algebra was achieved in [Liub], where a new family of planar algebras was constructed. Planar

algebras with multiple 2-box generators were discussed in [Liua]. Planar algebras generated by a single 3-box are discussed by C.Jones, Liu, and the author [JLR]. We summarize the conditions of a skein theory for a subfactor planar algebra as follows:

- (Evaluation) There exists an evaluation algorithm for diagrams in $\mathscr{P}_{0,\pm}$ and $\dim \mathscr{P}_{n,\pm} < \infty$.
- (Consistency) The evaluation is consistent.
- (Positivity) There exists a positive semidefinite trace on $\mathscr{P}_\bullet$.

In this paper, the main skein theory we use in this paper is the vertical isotopy which is encoded in the definition of isotopy:

Definition 1.1 (Vertical isotopy)**.** *Suppose X is an $(m,n)-$tangle and Y is an $(k,l)-$tangle, then we have*



Jones introduced unitary representations for Thompson groups [Jon14] motivated by the idea of constructing a conformal field theory for every finite index subfactor in such a way that the standard invariant of the subfactor, or at least the quantum double, can be recovered from the CFT. Following the idea of block spin renormalization, one can construct a Hilbert space from the initial data of a subfactor planar algebra on which Thompson groups F and T have an action. Due to the intrinsic structure of a subfactor planar algebra, one can obtain unitary representations of F and T. A significant result is that every unoriented link arises as matrix coefficients of these representations of F. Furthermore, all oriented links arise as matrix coefficients of a subgroup F, denoted by $\vec{F}$, defined as a stabilizer of a certain vector from these representations, which we call the vacuum vector. Golan and Sapir completely determined this subgroup and revealed many interesting properties [GS15]. In particular, they showed that $\vec{F}$ is isomorphic F_3, where the Thompson group F_N for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq 2$ is defined as

$$F_N \cong \langle x_1, x_2, \cdots | x_k^{-1} x_n x_k = x_{n+N-1} \rangle.$$

In this paper, we study subgroups of Thompson group F as the stabilizer of the vacuum vector of the unitary representations constructing from subfactor planar algebras. We show that the presentation of these subgroups is encoded with the skein theory of the subfactor planar algebras. In particular, we study a family of subgroups of Thompson group F called singly generated subgroups as an analogy of singly generated planar algebra.

Theorem 1.2. *The singly generated subgroup with an $(1,N)$-tangle is isomorphic to F_N.*

In particular, we apply the techniques to answer the question by Jones about the 3-colorable subgroup and have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.3. *The 3-colorable subgroup is isomorphic to F_4.*

The paper is organized as follows. In §2 we recall the definition of unitary representation for Thompson group F [Jon14]. In §3 we give the definition of singly generated groups. In §4 we introduce a classical presentation of singly generated groups and prove Theorem 1.2. In §5 we provide examples of singly generated groups: in §5.1 we provide a proof of that $\vec{F} \cong F_3$ from the topological viewpoint; in §5.2 we show that the 3-colorable subgroup is isomorphic to F_4.

2. PRELIMINARY

We refer readers to [Jon] for details in planar algebras. In this section we recall the construction of unitary representations for Thompson group F [Jon14].

Definition 2.1 (Standard dyadic partition)**.** *We say $\mathcal{I}$ is a standard dyadic partition of $[0,1]$ if for each $I \in \mathcal{I}$ there exists $a, p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I = \left[\frac{a}{2^p}, \frac{a+1}{2^p}\right]$. We denote the set of all standard dyadic partitions by $\mathcal{D}$ and define $\mathcal{I} \lesssim \mathcal{J}$ for $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{D}$ if $\mathcal{J}$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{I}$.*

Proposition 2.2. *For each $g \in F$, there exists $\mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $g(\mathcal{I}) \in \mathcal{D}$ and on each $I \in \mathcal{I}$ the slope of g is a constant. We say such an $\mathcal{I}$ is "good" for g.*

There is a well known diagrammatic description of Thompson group F [BS14]. For $\mathcal{I} \lesssim \mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{D}$, one can use binary a binary forest to represent the inclusion of $\mathcal{I}$ in $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ is "good" for some $g \in F$. For instance, $\mathcal{I} = \{[0, \frac{1}{2}], [\frac{1}{2}, 1]\}$, $\mathcal{J} = \{[0, \frac{1}{2}], [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}], [\frac{3}{4}, 1]\}$ and g is the function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x/2, & 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2} \\ x - \frac{1}{4}, & \frac{1}{2} < x \le \frac{3}{4} \\ 2x - 1, & \frac{3}{4} < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

$\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathcal{J}} =$ 

$g_{\mathcal{J}} =$ 

We construct a Hilbert space given a subfactor planar algebra $\mathscr{P}_\bullet$ [Jon14] [Jon16]. In particular we introduce the following two approaches.

Approach 2.3. *We start with $\mathscr{P}_\bullet$ a positive-definite planar algebra with a normalised trivalent vertex S, i.e,*



For each $\mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{D}$, we set $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathscr{P}_{M(\mathcal{I})}$, where $M(\mathcal{I})$ is the number of midpoints of the intervals of $\mathcal{I}$ and define the inclusion and action as

$T^{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathcal{J}} =$ 

$g_{\mathcal{J}} =$ 

Approach 2.4. *We start with $\mathscr{P}_\bullet$ a positive planar algebra with a normalised 2-box R, i.e,*



For each $\mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{D}$, we set $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathscr{P}_{E(\mathcal{I})}$, where $E(\mathcal{I})$ is the number of the midpoints and endpoints of intervals of $\mathcal{I}$ except $0, 1$ and define the inclusion and action as





In both cases, $T^{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathcal{J}}$ is an isometry from $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{I})$ to $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{J})$. Therefore the direct limit of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{I})$ for $\mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{D}$ is a prehilbert space. We complete the direct limit to obtain a Hilbert space, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_S$ (or $\mathcal{H}_R$). For each $v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{I})$ and $g \in F$, let $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\mathcal{I} \lesssim \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ "good" for g. We define $\pi : F \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S)$ (or $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_R)$) as

$$\pi(g)v = g_{\mathcal{J}} T^{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathcal{J}}(v).$$

From the theory of subfactors, one can show that $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_R)$ or $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_R)$ is a unitary representation for the Thompson group F. Naturally we obtain a subgroup as the stabilizer of the vacuum vector $\xi =$.

Definition 2.5. *The subgroup F_ξ is defined as*

$$F_\xi = \{g \in F | \pi(g)\xi = \xi\}$$

With specific choices of R and S, we obtain the Jones subgroup $\vec{F}$ in §5.1 and the 3-colorable subgroup in §5.2.

3. Singly generated subgroups

In this section, we introduce a group motivated by the braid group $B_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The braid group B_n is the group formed by appropriate isotopy classes of braids with obvious concatenation operation. A preferred set of generators $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdots, \sigma_{n-1}$ given by the following pictures:



One can easily to verify the following relations:

$$\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1},\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1 \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\sigma_i,\ |i-j| \geq 2 \tag{2}$$

Relation (1) and (2) give a presentation of B_n was proved by E.Artin. It follows that B_n can be embedded into B_{n+1} by restricting the $(n+1)$-th string to be a through string. Therefore, one can

consider the braid group by taking the inductive limit of $B_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, every element can be interpreted as a diagram in B_n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with infinitely many through strings on its right side.

Definition 3.1. *The braid group B_∞ is defined as the following*

$$B_\infty \cong \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdots | \sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \cdots ; \sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\sigma_i, |i-j| \geq 2 \rangle$$

One can think of B_∞ as a group generated by a $(2,2)$-tangle of . In this section, we consider groups in this form for an arbitrary $(1, N)$-tangle which arise as subgroups of Thompson group F.

Definition 3.2 (Shifts of X)**.** *Let X_k, called the k-shift of X, be an $(k+1, k+N)$-tangle defined as follows:*

$X_k =$ 

where k stands for k through strings on the left.

Remark . *For each X_k, we identify it as the same diagram with infinitely many strings on the right. Therefore, we define multiplication $\cdot$ of X_m and X_n as stacking the tangle from bottom to top as the multiplication in $\mathscr{P}_\bullet$. We denote this set as $Alg(X)$ singly generated by X. Let $Alg(X)_n$ to be set of all $(1, n)$-tangles in $Alg(X)$.*

Proposition 3.3. *For $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k < n$, we have*

$$X_n \cdot X_k = X_k \cdot X_{n+N-1} \tag{3}$$

Proof. Relation (3) follows from



□

Motivated by the pair of binary trees representation of Thompson group F, we consider the group consisting of the pairs of elements of a certain type from $Alg(X)$.

Definition 3.4. *Let $\widehat{G_X} = \{(T_+, T_-) : T_\pm \in Alg(X)_n \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We define a relation on $\widehat{G_X}$ by*

$$(T_+, T_-) \sim (S_+, S_-) \Leftrightarrow$$
$$\exists R \in Alg(X) \text{ such that } T_\pm = S_\pm \cdot R \text{ or } S_\pm = T_\pm \cdot R.$$

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose $T \in Alg(X)_n, S \in Alg(X)_m$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists $P, Q \in Alg(X)$ such that $T \cdot P = S \cdot Q$.*

Proof. Set $R_k = \prod_{j=0}^{k} X_{jN}$ an $(k+1,(k+1)N)$-tangle. We consider the elements $C_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n} R_j \in Alg(X)_{(n+1)N}$ illustrated as follows:

$C_n =$ 

Suppose $T \in Alg(X)_n$, there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that T is a sub diagram of C_{k_1}, i.e, there exists $P_1 \in Alg(X)$ such that $T \cdot P_1 = C_{k_1}$. Similarly we obtain such $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Q_1 \in Alg(X)$ for $S \in Alg(X)_m$.

Set $k = \max(k_1, k_2)$. If $k_1 = K_2$, then $T \cdot P_1 = S \cdot Q_1$. If $k_1 \neq k_2$, then WLOG we assume $k_1 > k_2$. Set $Q_2 = \prod_{j=k_2+1}^{k_1} R_j$, then

$$T \cdot P_1 = C_{k_1}$$
$$S \cdot Q_1 \cdot Q_2 = C_{k_2} \cdot \prod_{j=k_2+1}^{k_1} R_j = C_{k_1}$$

Therefore, $P = P_1$ and $Q = Q_1 \cdot Q_2$ satisfies the requirement of the proposition. □

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose $(T_+, T_-), (S_+, S_-) \in \widehat{G_X}$, there exists $(\widetilde{T_+}, \widetilde{T_-}), (\widetilde{S_+}, \widetilde{S_-}) \in \widehat{G_X}$ such that*

$$(\widetilde{T_+}, \widetilde{T_-}) \sim (T_+, T_-) \tag{4}$$
$$(\widetilde{S_+}, \widetilde{S_-}) \sim (S_+, S_-) \tag{5}$$
$$\widetilde{T_-} = \widetilde{S_+} \tag{6}$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.5, there exists $P, Q \in Alg(X)$ such that $T_- \cdot P = S_+ \cdot Q$. We define $\widetilde{T_\pm} = T_\pm \cdot P$ and $\widetilde{S_\pm} = S_\pm \cdot Q$. It follows from definitions that $(\widetilde{T_+}, \widetilde{T_-}), (\widetilde{S_+}, \widetilde{S_-}) \in \widehat{G_X}$ satisfy Relations (4), (5) and (6). □

Let $G_X = \{(T_+, T_-) : T_\pm \in Alg(X)_n \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}/\sim$, where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation in Definition 3.4. Suppose $g, h \in G_X$, then there exists $(T, S), (S, R) \in \widehat{G_X}$ such that $g = [(T, S)], h = [(S, R)]$ by Corollary 3.6. Thus we define a binary operation $\circ$ as

$$g \circ h = [(T, R)]$$

Theorem 3.7. *The binary operation $\circ$ is well defined on G_X and G_X is a group with the binary operation $\circ$.*

Proof. Suppose $(T,S) \sim (\widetilde{T},\widetilde{S})$ and $(S,R) \sim (\widetilde{S},\widetilde{R})$, then there exists $P \in Alg(X)$ such that

$$\widetilde{T} = T \cdot P$$
$$\widetilde{S} = S \cdot P$$
$$\widetilde{R} = R \cdot P$$

Therefore $(T,R) \sim (\widetilde{T},\widetilde{R})$, i.e, $\circ$ is well defined on G_X.

Suppose $T, S \in Alg(X)_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $[(T,T)]$ is the identity element with respect to $\circ$. For the element $[(T,S)]$, $[(S,T)]$ is the inverse element. By definition, G_X is closed under the binary operation $\circ$. Therefore G_X is a group with $\circ$.

□

Remark . *We omit the $\circ$ when there is no confusion. Since the algebra $Alg(X)$ is singly generated by X, therefore we denote such groups G_X by singly generated groups. Furthermore, $[(T,R)]$ equals to the identity if and only if T is isotopically equivalent of R for $T, R \in Alg(X)_n$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Notation 3.8. *In the following sections, we denote (T,R) for $T, R \in Alg(X)_n$ for the equivalence class of $[(T,R)]$ for elements in G_X.*

4. The Classical presentation

In this section we discuss the structure of G_X and its classical presentation derived from the vertical isotopy.

Definition 4.1. *Suppose X is an $(1,N)$-tangle. Set $S_n = X_0 \cdot X_{N-1} \cdot X_{2(N-1)} \cdots X_{n(N-1)}$. We call these S_n's basic forms and illustrate them as following:*



Proposition 4.2. *Suppose $T \in Alg(X)_n$, there exists $\alpha(T) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X_T \in Alg(X)$ which is $(\alpha(T)(N-1)+1, n)$-tangle such that*

$T =$ 

Proof. Since $T \in Alg(X)_n$, the first word in T is X_0. If there exists an X such that it is attached the rightmost string on the bottom of X_0, then apply the vertical isotopy to obtain a diagram starting with $X_0 \cdot X_{N-1}$. Repeating this procedure and let $\alpha(T)$ be the number of the steps. Therefore $T = S_{\alpha(T)} \cdot X_T$, where X_T the rest of the word. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $P_X = \{(T, S_n) : T \in Alg(X)_n,\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then P_X is a semigroup under $\circ$. Furthermore, it generates the group G_X.*

Proof. Suppose $g, h \in P_X$ and $g = (T, S_n), h = (R, S_m)$.

$$\begin{aligned} gh =&(S_{\alpha(T)} \cdot X_T, S_n) \circ (S_{\alpha(R)} \cdot X_R, S_m) \\ =&(S_{\alpha(T)+\max(n,\alpha(R))-n} \cdot X_T, S_{\max(n,\alpha(R))}) \circ \\ &(S_{\max(n,\alpha(R))} \cdot X_R, S_{m+\max(n,\alpha(R))-\alpha(R)}) \\ =&(S_{\alpha(T)+\max(n,\alpha(R))-n} \cdot X_T \cdot X_R, S_{m+\max(n,\alpha(R))-\alpha(R)}) \in P_X \end{aligned}$$

Therefore P_X is a semigroup under the binary operation $\circ$.

Note that for $(T, R) \in G_X$, $(T, R) = (T, S_n)(R, S_n)^{-1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence P_X is a generating set for P_X. □

Now we give a description of classical generators for the group G_X.

Definition 4.4. *Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $a(n), b(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a(n)$ is the largest integer satisfying $(N-1)a(n) + b(n) = n$ with $0 \le b(n) < N$. We define*

$$x_n = (S_{a(n)} \cdot X_n, S_{a(n)+1})$$

Lemma 4.5. *The set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a generating set for G_X and satisfies the relation*

$$x_k^{-1} x_n x_k = x_{n+N-1} \tag{7}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, we only need to show every element $g \in P_X$ belongs to the subgroup generated by $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Suppose $g = (T, S_n) \in P_X$. By Proposition 4.2, $X_T = X_{T'} \cdot X_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.



By Definition 4.1, $S_n = X_0 \cdot X_{N-1} \cdot X_{2(N-1)} \cdots X_{n(N-1)}$. Let $R = X_{(m+1)(N-1)} \cdot X_{n(N-1)}$. By Definition 4.4, $a(k)$ is the smallest integer such that $(N-1)a(k) + b(k) = k$ with $0 \le b(k) < N$.



$S_n =$ 

Therefore, by Definition 3.4,



Hence g is written as the product of a word in P_X with less length and x_k for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by a induction on the length, G_X is generated by $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Now we prove Relation (7). Suppose $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k < n$.

$$\begin{aligned} x_n x_k &= (S_{a(n)} \cdot X_n, S_{a(n)+1}) \circ (S_{a(k)} \cdot X_k, S_{a(k)+1}) \\ &= (S_{a(n)} \cdot X_n, S_{a(n)+1}) \circ (S_{a(n)+1} \cdot X_k, S_{a(n)+2}) \\ &= (S_{a(n)} \cdot X_n \cdot X_k, S_{a(n)+1} \cdot X_k) \circ (S_{a(n+N)} \cdot X_k, S_{a(n)+2}) \\ &= ((S_{a(n)} \cdot X_n \cdot X_k, S_{a(n)+2}) \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 4.4, we know that $a(n + N - 1) = a(n) + 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x_k x_{n+N-1} &= (S_{a(k)} \cdot X_k, S_{a(k)+1}) \circ (S_{a(n+N-1)} \cdot X_{n+N-1}, S_{a(n+N-1)+1}) \\ &= (S_{a(n)} \cdot X_k, S_{a(n)+1}) \circ (S_{a(n)+1} \cdot X_{n+N-1}, S_{a(n)+2}) \\ &= (S_{a(n)} \cdot X_k \cdot X_{n+N-1}, S_{a(n)+1} \cdot X_{n+N-1}) \circ (S_{a(n)+1} \cdot X_n, S_{a(n)+2}) \\ &= (S_{a(n)} \cdot X_k \cdot X_{n+N-1}, S_{(a(n)+2)}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x_n x_k = x_k x_{n+N-1}$ by Proposition 3.3. □

From the proof of Lemma 4.5, we have the following proposition,

Corollary 4.6. *Suppose $g \in P_X$, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}; i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_n \in \mathbb{N}; k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$g = x_{i_1}^{k_1} x_{i_2}^{k_2} \cdots x_{i_n}^{k_n}.$$

Furthermore,

$$g = 1 \Leftrightarrow k_1 = k_2 \cdots = k_n = 0.$$

Theorem 4.7. *The group G_X has a classical presentation*

$$G_X \cong \langle t_n, n \in \mathbb{N} | t_k^{-1} t_n t_k = t_{n+N-1},\ \forall k < N \rangle. \tag{8}$$

i.e, the group G_X is isomorphic to F_N.

Proof. We fist denote R, the normal subgroup generated by $\{t_k^{-1}t_n^{-1}t_kt_{n+N-1},\ \forall k<n\}$. Define the map Φ by sending t_k to x_k. It follows that Φ extends to a surjective group homomorphism from $\langle t_n, n\in\mathbb{N}|t_k^{-1}t_nt_k=t_{n+N-1},\ \forall k<N\rangle$ to G_X. Thus we only need to show Φ is injective. Note that for $k,n\in\mathbb{N},\ k<n$,

$$t_k^{-1}t_n=t_{n+N-1}t_k^{-1}$$
$$t_n^{-1}t_k=t_kt_{n+N-1}^{-1}$$

Therefore, every element $g\in\langle t_n, n\in\mathbb{N}|t_k^{-1}t_nt_k=t_{n+N-1},\ \forall k<N\rangle$, there exists g_+,g_- such that $g_+g_-^{-1}$ where $g_\pm$ is a word on $\{t_1,t_2,\cdots\}$ with positive powers. These are called positive elements of Thompson group F_N. Thus to show Φ is injective, we only need to show that for every two positive elements $g,h\in F_N$,

$$\Phi(g)=\Phi(h)\Leftrightarrow g=h$$

Since g,h are positive elements and Φ is a group homomorphism, $\Phi(g),\Phi(h)\in P_X$. Hence there exists $T_g,T_h\in Alg(X)_{n(N-1)+1}$ such that $\Phi(g)=(T_g,S_n)$ and $\Phi(h)=(T_h,S_n)$ where T_g and T_h are isotopically equivalent.

Assume $T_g=T_g'\cdot X_k$, i.e,

$T_g=$ 

Since T_g and T_h are isotopically equivalent, T_h must be of the form:



where the red string is the $(k+1)$th string from the left. Therefore, $T_h=T_{h_1}\cdot X_n\cdot T_{h_2}$, where X_n corresponds to the red layer. Following from the proof of Lemma 4.5, we have $h=h_1t_nh_2$.

Suppose $h_2=1$, then $n=k$ and $g=g't_k$, $h=h't_k$ for some g',h' positive elements. Note that

$$g=h\Leftrightarrow g'=h'.$$

Suppose $h_2\neq 1$, let t_m be the first word in h_2, i.e, $h=h_1t_nt_mh_3$.

By the structure of T_h, we know that either $m<n$ or $m>n+N-1$. If $m<n$, then $t_nt_m=t_mt_{n+N-1}$; if $m>n+N-1$, then $t_nt_m=t_{m-N+1}t_n$ by Relation (7). By symmetry we just

discuss the first case. Let $\widetilde{h} = h_1 t_m t_{n+N-1} h_3$.



Note that $h^{-1}\widetilde{h} = h_3^{-1} t_m^{-1} t_n^{-1} t_m t_{n+N-1} h_3 \in R$. Therefore to show $g^{-1}h \in R$, we only need to show $g^{-1}\widetilde{h} \in R$, i.e, to show that $\Phi(g) = \Phi(\widetilde{h}) \Leftrightarrow g = \widetilde{h}$. By repeating this procedure, we obtain a positive element $\widehat{h}$ with $\widehat{h} = \widehat{h}' t_k$. We only need to show

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(g) = \Phi(\widehat{h}) &\Leftrightarrow g = \widehat{h} \\ &\Leftrightarrow g' = \widehat{h}' \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, we reduce to the case that comparing positive elements of fewer lengths. Eventually it reduces to the case that for $i_1, \cdot, i_m; n_1, \cdot, n_m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} t_{i_1}^{n_1} t_{i_2}^{n_2} \cdots t_{i_m}^{n_m} &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow x_{i_1}^{n_1} x_{i_2}^{n_2} \cdots x_{i_m}^{n_m} &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $n_1 = n_2 = \cdots n_m = 1$, i.e, Φ is injective. □

5. Examples

In this section, we will mainly introduce two examples defined by Jones.

5.1. **The Jones subgroup $\vec{F}$.** Jones introduced the Jones subgroup $\vec{F}$ [Jon14], and it is showed that $\vec{F} \cong F_3$ by Golan and Sapir [GS15]. We first recall the definition of $\vec{F}$.

Let $\mathscr{P}_\bullet$ be the subfactor planar algebra, $\mathcal{TL}(2)$. We construct a unitary representation π with Approach 1 in §2 by taking the 2-box R to be $2^{1/4}(\, | \, | - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cup\!\cap)$, a multiple of the 2nd Jones-Wenzl idempotent.

For every element $g \in F$, the matrix coefficient $\langle \pi(g)\xi, \xi \rangle$ has a specific chromatic meaning:

Suppose g has a pair of binary tree representation as (T_+, T_-). We arrange the pair of trees in $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that the leaves of $T_\pm$ are the points $(1/2, 0), (3/2, 0), \cdots ((2n-1)/2, 0)$ with all the edges being the straight line segments sloping either up from left to right or down from left to right. T_+ is in the upper half plane and T_- is in the lower half plane. We construct a simply-laced planar graph $\Gamma(T_+, T_-)$ from the given trees. Let the vertices be $\{(0,0), (1,0), ..., (n,0)\}$ contained in each region between the edges of each tree. The vertices $(k, 0)$ and $(j, 0)$ is connected by an edge if and only if the corresponding regions are separated by an edge sloping up in T_+ or down in T_- from left to right.

Proposition 5.1 (Jones, [Jon14])**.** *$\Gamma(T_+, T_-)$ defined above is consisting of a pair of trees, $\Gamma(T_+)$ in the upper half plane and $\Gamma(T_-)$ in the lower half plane having following properties:*

- *The vertices are $0, 1, 2, \cdots, n$.*

- *Each vertex other than* 0 *is connected to exactly one vertex to its left*
- *Each edge can be parameterised as* $(x(t), y(t))$ *for* $0 \leq t \leq 1$ *such that* $x'(t) > 0$ *and* $y(t) > 0$ *on* $(0,1)$ *or* $y(t) < 0$ *on* $(0,1)$.

Proposition 5.2 (Jones, [Jon14])**.** *We have the following for the matrix coefficient,*

$$\langle \pi(g)\xi, \xi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} Chr_{\Gamma(T_+,T_-)}(2),$$

where $Chr_{\Gamma(T_+,T_-)}(2)$ *is the value of the chromatic polynomial for* $\Gamma(T_+,T_-)$ *at* 2*, i.e, the number of* 2*-coloring of* $\Gamma(T_+,T_-)$.

Remark . *By definition of the chromatic polynomial, we have*

$$Chr_{\Gamma(T_+,T_-)}(2) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } \Gamma(T_+,T_-) \text{ is bipartite} \\ 0, & \text{if } \Gamma(T_+,T_-) \text{ is not bipartite} \end{cases}$$

Definition 5.3 (the Jones subgroup)**.** *We denote the Jones subgroup* $\vec{F}$ *as the stabilizer of the vacuum vector, i.e,*

$$\vec{F} = \{g \in F | \pi(g)\xi = \xi\}$$

Remark . *Suppose* g *has a pair of trees representation as* (T_+,T_-)*. By the remark of Proposition 5.2, we have that* $g \in \vec{F}$ *if and only if* $\Gamma(T_+,T_-)$ *is a bipartite planar graph.*

Now we show that $\vec{F}$ is isomorphic to F_3.

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose* $g \in \vec{F}$*, then* g *has a pair of trees representation as* (T_+,T_-) *such that the coloring of the vertices of* $\Gamma(T_+,T_-)$ *is* $\pm\mp\pm\mp\cdots\pm$ *from the left to right.*

Proof. Suppose (T_+,T_-) is a pair of trees representation of $g \in \vec{F}$. Since $g \in \vec{F}$, $\Gamma(T_+,T_-)$ is a bipartite graph, i.e, there exists a coloring of the vertices. Suppose there exists a vertex $(i,0)$ with $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and the coloring of $(i,0)$ and $(i+1,0)$ are different, i.e,



We consider the pair of trees with by putting a caret on both $T_\pm$ on the edge with endpoints $(i+1/2, 0)$. Therefore, we have



Repeating this procedure, we reach a pair of trees representation of g required by the lemma. □

Theorem 5.5. *The Jones subgroup* $\vec{F}$ *is isomorphic to* F_3.

Proof. Let $X =$. Suppose non-trivial $g \in \vec{F}$ with a pair of trees representation (T_+,T_-) as in Lemma 5.4. Assume T_+ has $2n-1$ leaves.

Claim. *There exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that in T_+*



Proof of the claim. By Proposition 5.1, we consider the upper half part of $\Gamma(T_+, T_-)$, denoted by $\Gamma(T_+)$. The claim is equivalent to that there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(i+2, 0)$ is connected to $(i+1, 0)$ and $(i+1, 0)$ is connected to $(i, 0)$ by edges in $\Gamma(T_+)$. We prove this statement by induction on the number of vertices. The basic step is trivially true since the coloring is $\pm \mp \pm$.

Now suppose the statement holds for $2n-1$ vertices. Consider $\Gamma(T_+)$ has $2n+1$ vertices. Since $\Gamma(T_+)$, there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(m, 0)$ is connected to $(m-1, 0)$ by induction. Let $(k, 0)$ be the only vertex that $(m-1, 0)$ is connected to on its left. If $k = m-2$, then we find such vertex required by the claim. If $k < m-2$, we apply the induction on the induced sub graph of $\Gamma(T_+)$ on vertices $\{(k, 0), (k+1, 0), \cdots, (m.0)\}$. Therefore we concludes the claim. □

With proving the claim, we obtain that $T_+ \in Alg(X)_{2n-1}$. Therefore $\vec{F} \cong F_3$ by Theorem 4.7. □

Remark . *Jones defined a family of subgroups of F which start with $\vec{F}$ using the R-matrix in spin models* [Jon14]. *These subgroups have been redefined by Golan and Sapir denoted by $\vec{F}_n, n \geq 2$ and showed to be isomorphic to F_{n+1}* [GS15]. *These results can be obtained by using the same idea in the proof of Theorem 5.5.*

5.2. **The 3-colorable subgroup.** Jones introduce the 3-colorable subgroup $\mathcal{F}$ and we now recall the definition.

Let $\mathscr{P}_\bullet$ is the subfactor planar algebra $\mathcal{TL}(2)$. we set S to be $3^{\frac{1}{4}}$, where =

.

we construct a unitary representation π with the trivalent vertex in Approach 2 in §2. Similar to the case in §5.1, the matrix coefficient with respect to the vacuum vector has a specific chromatic meaning:

Suppose $g \in F$ with (T_+, T_-) a pair of trees representation. We arrange the pair of trees as in §5.1 to obtain a cubic planar graph. Let $\Gamma(T_+, T_-)$ be the dual graph of the cubic graph with vertices

$\{(0,0),(1,0),\cdots,(n,0)\}$. For instance,



(9)

Proposition 5.6. *The matrix coefficient satisfies the following:*

$$\langle \pi(g)\xi,\xi\rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \Gamma(T_+,T_-) \text{ is 3-colorable} \\ 0, & \Gamma(T_+,T_-) \text{ is not 3-colorable} \end{cases}$$

Remark . *We use $\{a,b,c\}$ as the coloring of $\Gamma(T_+,T_-)$ for g having (T_+,T_-) as a pair of representation with $\langle \pi(g)\xi,\xi\rangle = 1$.*

Definition 5.7 (Jones)**.** *We define the 3-colorable subgroup $\mathcal{F}$ as the stabilizer of the vacuum vector*

$$\mathcal{F} = \{g \in F | \pi(g)\xi = \xi\}$$

Lemma 5.8. *Suppose $g \in \mathcal{F}$, then g has a pair of trees representation (T_+,T_-) such that the coloring of the vertices of $\Gamma(T_+,T_-)$ is $acbacb\cdots ac$.*

Proof. First note that this lemma should hold for the coloring after applying any permutation on $\{a,b,c\}$ to $acbacb\cdots ac$. We will prove the lemma by induction. Suppose (T_+,T_-) is a pair of trees representation of $g \in \mathcal{F}$. Consider there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with coloring of the vertex $(i,0)$ is a and the vertex $(i+1,0)$ is b. Then we apply the same technique in Lemma 5.4



We consider the pair of trees with by putting a caret on both $T_\pm$ on the edge with endpoints $(i+1/2,0)$. Therefore, we have



□

Theorem 5.9. *The 3-colorable subgroup $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to F_4.*

Proof. Let $X =$  . Suppose $g \in \mathcal{F}$ having (T_+, T_-) as a pair of trees representation satisfying Lemma 5.8 where $T_\pm$ has $3n+1$ leaves.

Claim. *There exits $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that in T_+,*



Proof of Claim. We will prove the claim by induction. The basic step is trivially true since it corresponds to the coloring *acbac*. Suppose this claim holds for binary trees with $3n-2$ leaves. T_+ must start with the form



If there are no more other vertices connected to v_{00} or v_{01}, then the claim holds.

If there are more vertices on the left edge of v_{00}, then the dotted circle part is a tree with $acbacb\cdots ac$. By induction, we know that the claim holds.



The same argument holds for the cases that there are more vertices on the right edge of v_{00} or the left (or right) edge of v_{01}. □

With proving the claim, we obtain that $T_+ \in Alg(X)_{3n+1}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{F} \cong F_4$ by Theorem 4.7 □

References

[Ati88] Michael F Atiyah, *Topological quantum field theory*, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS **68** (1988), 175–186.

[BJ97] D. Bisch and V. F. R. Jones, *Singly generated planar algebras of small dimension*, Duke Math. J. **128** (1997), 89–157.

[BJ03] ———, *Singly generated planar algebras of small dimension, part II*, Advances in Mathematics **175** (2003), 297–318.

[BJL] D. Bisch, V. Jones, and Z. Liu, *Singly generated planar algebras of small dimension, part III*, arXiv:1410.2876.

[BS14] Robert Bieri and Ralph Strebel, *On groups of pl-homeomorphisms of the real line*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.2868 (2014).

[GS15] Gili Golan and Mark Sapir, *On jones' subgroup of r. thompson group f*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1501.00724 (2015).

[JLR] C. Jones, Z. Liu, and Y. Ren, *Planar algebras generated by a 3-box*, In preparation.

[Jon] V. F. R. Jones, *Planar algebras, I*, arXiv:math.QA/9909027.

[Jon83] ———, *Index for subfactors*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), 1–25.
[Jon14] ———, *Some unitary representation of thompson's groups f and t, I*, arXiv:1412.7740 [math.GR] (2014).
[Jon16] ———, *A no-go theorem for the continuum limit of a periodic quantum spin chain.*
[Liua] Z. Liu, *Exchange relation planar algebras of small rank*, To appear Trans. AMS. arXiv:1308.5656v2.
[Liub] ———, *Yang-baxter relation planar algebras*, In preparation.
[Ocn88] A. Ocneanu, *Quantized groups, string algebras and Galois theory for algebras*, Operator algebras and applications, Vol. 2, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 136, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988, pp. 119–172.
[Pop94] S. Popa, *Classification of amenable subfactors of type II*, Acta Math. **172** (1994), 352–445.
[Pop95] ———, *An axiomatization of the lattice of higher relative commutants*, Invent. Math. **120** (1995), 237–252.